arXiv:1209.3153v1 [quant-ph] 14 Sep 2012

Transitionless quantum driving for spin systems

Kazutaka Takahashi

Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

Abstract. We apply the method of transitionless quantum driving for time-dependent quantum systems to spin systems. For a given Hamiltonian, the driving Hamiltonian is constructed so that the adiabatic states of the original system obey the Schrödinger equation. For several typical systems such as the XY spin chain, the driving Hamiltonian is constructed explicitly. We discuss possible interesting situations when the driving Hamiltonian becomes time independent and when the driving Hamiltonian is equivalent to the original one. For many-body systems, a crucial problem occurs at the quantum phase transition point where the energy gap between the ground and first excited states becomes zero. We discuss how the defect can be circumvented in the present method.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Ac, 05.30.Rt, 75.10.Pq

1. Introduction

Understanding the dynamics of a quantum system is a fundamental problem in quantum mechanics and is important for practical applications. With recent advances in experimental techniques, we need to control the dynamics in a high-precision way [1, 2]. Examples are seen in Bose-Einstein condensates in optical lattices [3], quantum computations [4] and so on. These advances motivate us to design the optimal Hamiltonian rather than solving the problem under a given Hamiltonian.

Theoretically, several acceleration methods have been discussed recently: quantum brachistochrone [5, 6], fast-forward method [7, 8, 9], transitionless quantum driving (TQD) [10] and Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant-based engineering [11, 12]. In these methods, we discuss how fast one can reach a desired state starting from an initial one. We construct a time-dependent Hamiltonian so that the time evolution is achieved efficiently.

These methods have been applied to systems with few degrees of freedom such as the Landau-Zener two-level system and a particle in a harmonic oscillator potential. In the present paper, we treat systems with many degrees of freedom by using the method of the TQD [10]. For a given Hamiltonian, we construct the driving Hamiltonian so that the adiabatic states of the original Hamiltonian obey the Schrödinger equation. The advantage of using this method is in its simplicity. The generalization to many-body systems is a straightforward task. The method has been tested experimentally in Bose-Einstein condensates in optical lattices and is shown to be robust against control parameter variations [13].

Although the essential point of the method can be seen in small systems, we want to discuss the potential usefulness of the method when it is applied to many-body systems. We can find a lot of interesting phenomena in such systems. The effects of quantum fluctuations play important roles there. Since the method of the TQD manipulates the same effect, we expect that the present analysis reveals the nature of the quantum effects from a different aspect. As there are many interesting phenomena and a lot of techniques have been established, it is worth applying the present method to spin systems.

Practically, the method will be most useful when the final state is a nontrivial unknown one. Starting from the initial trivial state, we evolve the system to the nontrivial state by using the quantum fluctuations. Such idea is known as the quantum adiabatic calculations or, more generally, the quantum annealing [14, 15, 16]. The major problem of this method is that infinite times are required to reach the final state. By using the method of the TQD, we expect that such problem is improved. We can also find what kind of quantum fluctuations we should use for the time evolution.

When we consider many-body systems, one of the most interesting phenomena that cannot be seen in few-body ones is the quantum phase transition. It is known that the adiabatic approximation fails at the phase transition point where the energy gap between the first and ground states becomes zero. This problem is not improved in the present method since the driving Hamiltonian is divergent at that point, which can easily be understood from the general formula [10]. However, we discuss that this is not a disaster and we can possibly circumvent the problem by using quantum fluctuations.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we review the method briefly and discuss possible extensions. Then, we discuss a two-level system in section 3, a two-spin system in section 4 and the one-dimensional XY model in section 5. Section 6 is devoted to summary.

2. Transitionless quantum driving

We treat a time-dependent quantum Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0(t)$. To obtain the corresponding state under some initial condition, we solve the Schrödinger equation, which is usually a formidable task especially for many-body systems. The analysis becomes considerably easier when we consider the slow evolution of the Hamiltonian. In that case, the instantaneous eigen-energies and -states defined as

$$\hat{H}_0(t)|n(t)\rangle = E_n(t)|n(t)\rangle \tag{1}$$

determine the state of the system. Here, n is the index denoting each state. Then, if we start the time evolution from one of the eigenstate $|n(t_0)\rangle$ at $t = t_0$, the adiabatic state is given by

$$|\psi_n(t)\rangle = \exp\left(-i\int_{t_0}^{t} dt' E_n(t') - \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \langle n(t')|\frac{d}{dt'}|n(t')\rangle\right)|n(t)\rangle. \tag{2}$$

The first term in the exponential represents the counterpart of the time-evolution factor in the stationary states and the second one is a phase factor that generates the geometric Berry phase [17]. The adiabatic state is a good approximation as the solution of the Schrödinger equation when the following adiabatic condition is satisfied:

$$\frac{|\langle m(t)|\frac{d\hat{H}_0(t)}{dt}|n(t)\rangle|}{(E_m(t) - E_n(t))^2} \ll 1, \tag{3}$$

where m and n denote different energy levels.

Berry found a formula of the driving Hamiltonian such that transitions to other states do not occur [10]. This means that the adiabatic state becomes the exact solution of the Schödinger equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}|\psi_n(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t)|\psi_n(t)\rangle. \tag{4}$$

The new Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ is different from the original one $\hat{H}_0(t)$. Applying the time derivative operator to the adiabatic state (2), we can easily obtain $\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0(t) + \hat{H}_1(t)$ with

$$\hat{H}_1(t) = \sum_m (1 - |m(t)\rangle\langle m(t)|)\, i\frac{d}{dt}|m(t)\rangle\langle m(t)|. \tag{5}$$

We note that the time-derivative operator acts only on the state just behind the operator. If we consider the time-evolution under this new Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ starting from the initial state $|n(t_0)\rangle$, the state remains the same eigenstate of the original Hamiltonian

$\hat{H}_0(t)$. We can consider the time evolution with arbitrary speed, which accomplishes our purpose to go beyond the adiabatic evolution.

The original formula (5) by Berry was derived under the condition that there are no degeneracies of the eigenstates. We used the orthonormal relation $\langle m(t)|n(t)\rangle = \delta_{mn}$ to derive the formula. It is possible to extend the formula to systems with degenerate states. The adiabatic state for such systems was discussed in [18]. We specify the instantaneous eigenstates as

$$\hat{H}_0(t)|n,\mu,t\rangle = E_n(t)|n,\mu,t\rangle. \tag{6}$$

Each eigenstate is labeled by two indices n and μ. It has an eigenenergy E_n and the number of values of μ represents the degeneracy. For the degenerate states we can choose the appropriate basis such that the orthonormal relation

$$\langle n,\mu,t|n',\mu',t\rangle = \delta_{nn'}\delta_{\mu\mu'} \tag{7}$$

is satisfied. Then, the adiabatic state is written as

$$|\psi_n(t)\rangle = \sum_\mu \exp\left(-i\int_{t_0}^t dt' E_n(t')\right) c_\mu^{(n)}(t)|n,\mu,t\rangle. \tag{8}$$

The coefficients $c_\mu^{(n)}$ are determined below. Inserting this expression to the Schrödinger equation and using the adiabatic approximation, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}c_\mu^{(n)}(t) = -\sum_{\mu'}\langle n,\mu,t|\frac{d}{dt}|n,\mu',t\rangle c_{\mu'}^{(n)}(t). \tag{9}$$

This differential equation is solved as

$$c_\mu^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{\mu'} U_{\mu\mu'}^{(n)}(t)c_{\mu'}^{(n)}(t_0), \tag{10}$$

where $U_{\mu\mu'}^{(n)}(t)$ is a matrix element of the matrix

$$U^{(n)}(t) = \mathrm{T}\exp\left(-i\int_{t_0}^t dt' A^{(n)}(t')\right). \tag{11}$$

The symbol T represents the time-ordered product and the nonabelian gauge field matrix $A^{(n)}$ has its element as

$$iA_{\mu\mu'}^{(n)}(t) = \langle n,\mu,t|\frac{d}{dt}|n,\mu',t\rangle. \tag{12}$$

In this adiabatic state, it is straightforward to apply the method of the TQD. After a similar calculation as the original case, we obtain

$$\hat{H}_1(t) = \sum_{n\mu}\left(1-\sum_\nu |n,\nu,t\rangle\langle n,\nu,t|\right) i\frac{d}{dt}|n,\mu,t\rangle\langle n,\mu,t|. \tag{13}$$

We note that the formula (13) is applied when the degeneracies are maintained throughout the time evolution. The method does not work well when the level crossing occurs. This can be understood from the alternative expression of the formula (5) as

$$\hat{H}_1(t) = i\sum_{l,m\,(l\neq m)} |l(t)\rangle \frac{\langle l(t)|\frac{d\hat{H}_0(t)}{dt}|m(t)\rangle}{E_m(t)-E_l(t)}\langle m(t)|. \tag{14}$$

We see that the driver Hamiltonian diverges at the level crossing point. This problem is crucial when we apply the method to the many-body systems where the level crossing occurs constantly. Even if we restrict ourselves to the time evolution of the ground state, the problem occurs at the quantum phase transition point where the energy gap between the ground and first excited states goes to zero.

Before investigating this problem further, we discuss a possible extension of the original method. The Berry's formula is applied for any instantaneous energy levels. However, for many-body systems, we usually are interested in the ground state only. Then, if operators give nothing when they are applied to the ground state, they can be neglected from the beginning. If we only consider some specific energy level n, we can use the state-dependent driver Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_1^{(n)}(t) = (1 - |n(t)\rangle\langle n(t)|)\, i\frac{d}{dt}|n(t)\rangle\langle n(t)| + (\text{h.c.}), \tag{15}$$

where (h.c.) denotes the Hermite conjugate of the first term. Thus, we have an arbitrariness in choosing the driver Hamiltonian. This is a useful property for practical applications.

3. Two-level system

We can see how the method works well in a simple two level Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_0(t) = \boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \tag{16}$$

where $\boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t)$ is a magnetic field vector in three dimensional space and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ are Pauli matrices. This case was considered in [10]. Applying the general formula to this Hamiltonian, we obtain the driving Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0(t) + \hat{H}_1(t)$ with

$$\hat{H}_1(t) = \frac{1}{2|\boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t)|^2}\left(\boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t) \times \dot{\boldsymbol{h}}^{(0)}(t)\right) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \tag{17}$$

where $\dot{\boldsymbol{h}}_0(t)$ represents the time derivative of $\boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t)$. Thus, the magnetic field to apply is given by $\boldsymbol{h}(t) = \boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t) + (\boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t) \times \dot{\boldsymbol{h}}^{(0)}(t))/2|\boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t)|^2$.

This simple example tells us an important fact about the nature of quantum fluctuations. Even if we confine the magnetic field in a plane, e.g., $\boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t) = (h_1(t), h_2(t), 0)$, the driver Hamiltonian gives a field in the z direction. The quantum fluctuation effects unavoidably produce all kinds of operators which act on states in the Hilbert space. In other words, we need a complex matrix to represent the driving Hamiltonian.

As a simple example, we consider an oscillating field

$$\boldsymbol{h}^{(0)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \cos\omega t \\ h_0 \sin\omega t \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

Then, the driving field has the same form as the original one as

$$\boldsymbol{h}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{h}_0 \cos\omega t \\ \tilde{h}_0 \sin\omega t \\ \tilde{h}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\tilde{h}_0 = h_0\left(1-\frac{\omega h_3}{2(h_0^2+h_3^2)}\right), \tag{20}$$

$$\tilde{h}_3 = h_3 + \frac{\omega h_0^2}{2(h_0^2+h_3^2)}. \tag{21}$$

Thus, by considering the time evolution under the field (19), we can obtain the adiabatic state of the original field (18).

It is interesting to consider some special cases. The first one is when the driving Hamiltonian becomes time independent. The condition $\dot{\boldsymbol{h}}=0$ gives

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{h}}_0(t)+\frac{1}{2h_0^2(t)}\boldsymbol{h}_0(t)\times\ddot{\boldsymbol{h}}_0(t)=0. \tag{22}$$

We see that $\dot{\boldsymbol{h}}_0(t)$ is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{h}_0(t)$ and $\ddot{\boldsymbol{h}}_0(t)$. In the case of the oscillating field (18), the condition $\tilde{h}_0=0$ gives

$$2(h_0^2+h_3^2)=\omega h_3. \tag{23}$$

Then, the driving Hamiltonian has the static field

$$\boldsymbol{h}(t)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\frac{\omega}{2}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{24}$$

Driving the system by the static Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$, we can find the adiabatic state of the original Hamiltonian. We note that we must choose one of the eigenstates of $\hat{H}_0(t_0)$ as the initial condition which is not the eigenstate of $\hat{H}$.

Another interesting point to be used in the following is the existence of the fixed point where the driving Hamiltonian is equivalent to the original Hamiltonian: $\hat{H}(t)=\hat{H}_0(t)$. This case is of particular interest since the adiabatic state becomes the exact solution of the Schrödinger equation. However, in the case of the two level system, the fixed-point condition does not give an interesting situation. By using the condition $\boldsymbol{h}(t)=\boldsymbol{h}_0(t)$, we obtain $\boldsymbol{h}_0(t)=f(t)\boldsymbol{h}_0(t_0)$ where $f(t)$ is an arbitrary function of time with the initial condition $f(t_0)=1$. This means that the magnetic field points to the same direction throughout the time evolution. In this case, the corresponding system is reduced to a static one by the time reparametrization $dt'=f(t)dt$. In the following, we find in some cases that the fixed-point condition gives a nontrivial result.

4. Two-spin system

As a preliminary calculation to many-body systems, we consider a two-spin system. This is the simplest many-body system and we can learn typical problems which occur in general many-body systems. Furthermore, the model is directly applied to two-qubit systems.

The Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H}_0(t)=J_x(t)\sigma_1^x\sigma_2^x+J_y(t)\sigma_1^y\sigma_2^y+h(t)(\sigma_1^z+\sigma_2^z), \tag{25}$$

where $\sigma_1^{x,y,z}$ and $\sigma_2^{x,y,z}$ represent Pauli matrices for two kinds of spins. We write this Hamiltonian by using the z-basis $|m_1,m_2\rangle = |m_1\rangle_1|m_2\rangle_2$ where $\sigma_1^z|m\rangle_1 = m|m\rangle_1$ and $\sigma_2^z|m\rangle = m|m\rangle_2$ with $m=\pm 1$. Arranging the basis states as $|+1,+1\rangle$, $|-1,-1\rangle$, $|+1,-1\rangle$ and $|-1,+1\rangle$, we obtain a two block form

$$\hat{H}_0 = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 2h & J_x-J_y & 0 & 0 \\ J_x-J_y & -2h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & J_x+J_y \\ 0 & 0 & J_x+J_y & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{26}$$

The matrix in each block is understood as the Hamiltonian of a two-level system and we can apply the formula in equation (17). The second block represents a system with the magnetic field only in one direction and no further transformation is required. Applying the formula (17) to the first block, we obtain the driver Hamiltonian in the space of the first block

$$\hat{H}_1^{(1)}(t) = \frac{h(\dot{J}_x-\dot{J}_y)-\dot{h}(J_x-J_y)}{4h^2+(J_x-J_y)^2}\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{27}$$

This representation is transformed to that in the original full space as

$$\hat{H}_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{h(\dot{J}_x-\dot{J}_y)-\dot{h}(J_x-J_y)}{4h^2+(J_x-J_y)^2}\left(\sigma_1^x\sigma_2^y+\sigma_1^y\sigma_2^x\right). \tag{28}$$

To obtain a more useful form, we consider the unitary rotation

$$\tilde{H}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\left(\hat{H}_0(t)+\hat{H}_1(t)\right)\hat{U}^\dagger(t), \tag{29}$$

where

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2}\theta(t)(\sigma_1^z+\sigma_2^z)\right]. \tag{30}$$

The rotation is performed around the z-axis and the angle θ is determined so that the transformed Hamiltonian has the same form as the original one. The condition is given by

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{1}{J_x-J_y}\frac{\dot{h}(J_x-J_y)-h(\dot{J}_x-\dot{J}_y)}{4h^2+(J_x-J_y)^2}. \tag{31}$$

Since the unitary rotation has a time dependence, the transformed state

$$|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = \tilde{U}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle \tag{32}$$

obeys the Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(t) = \tilde{H}(t) + \frac{\dot{\theta}(t)}{2}(\sigma_1^z+\sigma_2^z) \tag{33}$$

$$= \tilde{J}_x(t)\sigma_1^x\sigma_2^x + \tilde{J}_y(t)\sigma_1^y\sigma_2^y + \tilde{h}(t)(\sigma_1^z+\sigma_2^z), \tag{34}$$

where

$$\tilde{J}_x(t)+\tilde{J}_y(t) = J_x(t)+J_y(t), \tag{35}$$

$$\left(\tilde{J}_x(t)-\tilde{J}_y(t)\right)\cos 2\theta(t) = J_x(t)-J_y(t), \tag{36}$$

$$\tilde{h}(t) = h(t) + \frac{\dot{\theta}(t)}{2}. \tag{37}$$

Thus, the time-evolution of the adiabatic state of the original XY Hamiltonian (25) is described by the same Hamiltonian with different coupling constants. If we consider the time evolution of a state for a given Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$, the state must be the adiabatic state of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0(t)$.

We can also find in the present system the fixed point where $\hat{H}_1(t)$ becomes zero. This condition is achieved by the magnetic field

$$h(t) = c(J_x(t) - J_y(t)), \tag{38}$$

where c is a constant. Although this condition is a trivial one in the first block of the matrix (26), it is not in the whole space of the Hamiltonian. The system cannot be reduced to a static one by the time reparametrization. We expect that such a fixed point has a special meaning for the dynamical problem, which we have not understood yet.

5. One-dimensional anisotropic XY model

As the simplest many-body system showing nontrivial ground-state properties, we study the one-dimensional anisotropic XY model. We consider N kinds of spins and write the Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{H}_0(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(J_x(t)\sigma_j^x\sigma_{j+1}^x + J_y(t)\sigma_j^y\sigma_{j+1}^y - h(t)\sigma_j^z\right). \tag{39}$$

Taking $J_x = 0$ or $J_y = 0$, we can also study the transverse-field Ising model. In the static case, this model has a quantum phase transition at $J_x + J_y = h$ where the energy gap between the ground and first excited states goes to zero.

This Hamiltonian is diagonalized by the method of fermionization. We define the Jordan-Wigner transformation [19, 20]

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_j^x + i\sigma_j^y\right) = \exp\left(i\pi\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_k^\dagger a_k\right) a_j^\dagger, \tag{40}$$

$$\sigma_j^z = 2a_j^\dagger a_j - 1. \tag{41}$$

Here, the operators a_j obey the fermionic anti-commutation relations

$$a_i a_j^\dagger + a_j^\dagger a_i = \delta_{ij}, \tag{42}$$

$$a_i a_j + a_j a_i = 0. \tag{43}$$

We also introduce the Fourier transformation

$$a_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_q \tilde{a}_q e^{iqj}. \tag{44}$$

The momentum q takes a value between $-\pi$ and π, and its discrete value depends on the parities of N and the number of fermions in each state. Since the value becomes continuous at the thermodynamic limit, we do not specify it explicitly here. Applying the transformation to the Hamiltonian, we obtain $\hat{H}_0(t) = \sum_q \hat{H}_0(q,t)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_0(q,t) = & -2\left[(J_x + J_y)\cos q - h\right]\tilde{a}_q^\dagger\tilde{a}_q \\ & - i(J_x - J_y)\sin q(\tilde{a}_q^\dagger\tilde{a}_{-q}^\dagger + \tilde{a}_q\tilde{a}_{-q}) - h.\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

The Hamiltonian is block-diagonalized and each block is specified by the absolute value of q. In each block, the state is specified explicitly by $|0\rangle$, $\tilde{a}_q^\dagger\tilde{a}_{-q}^\dagger|0\rangle$, $\tilde{a}_q^\dagger|0\rangle$ and $\tilde{a}_{-q}^\dagger|0\rangle$ where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum of fermions defined by $\tilde{a}_q|0\rangle = 0$. The Hamiltonian is given by $\hat{H}_0(q,t)+\hat{H}_0(-q,t) = \hat{H}_0^{(1)}(q,t)\oplus 1_2 + 1_2\oplus[-2(J_x+J_y)\cos q]1_2$ where

$$\hat{H}_0^{(1)}(q,t) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -2h & 2i(J_x-J_y)\sin q \\ -2i(J_x-J_y)\sin q & -4[(J_x+J_y)\cos q - h] - 2h \end{array}\right). \tag{46}$$

We have a two-level system again and the driving Hamiltonian is constructed in the same way as the previous calculations. It is written as

$$\hat{H}_1^{(1)}(q,t) = \frac{J_1(q,t)}{2}\sin q\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right), \tag{47}$$

where

$$J_1(q,t) = \frac{[(J_x+J_y)\cos q - h](\dot{J}_x-\dot{J}_y) - (J_x-J_y)[(\dot{J}_x+\dot{J}_y)\cos q - \dot{h}]}{[(J_x+J_y)\cos q - h]^2 + (J_x-J_y)^2\sin^2 q}. \tag{48}$$

In the full space of fermionic states, the Hamiltonian is written in terms of fermion operators as $\hat{H}_1(t) = \sum_q \hat{H}_1(q,t)$ with

$$\hat{H}_1(q,t) = \frac{J_1(q,t)}{4}\sin q\left(\tilde{a}_q^\dagger\tilde{a}_{-q}^\dagger + \tilde{a}_{-q}\tilde{a}_q\right). \tag{49}$$

The present purpose is accomplished if we represent this term by spin operators. However, the representation (49) has a q-dependent coupling which gives nonlocal hopping of fermions. As a result, the corresponding spin representation has nonlocal and many-body interacting terms. Unfortunately, the method gives an unrealistic Hamiltonian.

This problem does not arise if we restrict ourselves to a specific state. In most of applications, we are interested in the ground state of the Hamiltonian. The driving Hamiltonian is tuned so that the low-lying states are controlled properly. In the present case, the low-lying states are denoted by excitations of the modes at small q. The momentum q in the coupling $J_1(q,t)$ is neglected and we have the driving Hamiltonian for the low-lying states

$$\hat{H}_1(t) \sim \frac{J_1(t)}{4}\sum_q \sin q\left(\tilde{a}_q^\dagger\tilde{a}_{-q}^\dagger + \tilde{a}_{-q}\tilde{a}_q\right), \tag{50}$$

where

$$J_1(t) = \frac{(J_x+J_y-h)(\dot{J}_x-\dot{J}_y) - (J_x-J_y)(\dot{J}_x+\dot{J}_y-\dot{h})}{(J_x+J_y-h)^2}. \tag{51}$$

In this case, it is easy to go back to the spin representation and we obtain

$$\hat{H}_1(t) = -i\frac{J_1(t)}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_j^\dagger a_{j+1}^\dagger + a_j a_{j+1}\right) \tag{52}$$

$$= \frac{J_1(t)}{8}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\sigma_j^x\sigma_{j+1}^y + \sigma_j^y\sigma_{j+1}^x\right). \tag{53}$$

If we consider the unitary rotation as was done in the two-spin system, we can have as the total driving Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\tilde{J}_x(t)\sigma_j^x\sigma_{j+1}^x + \tilde{J}_y(t)\sigma_j^y\sigma_{j+1}^y - \tilde{h}(t)\sigma_j^z \right), \tag{54}$$

where $\tilde{J}_x(t)$, $\tilde{J}_y(t)$ and $\tilde{h}(t)$ are determined properly.

We note that $J_1(t)$ is divergent at the phase transition point $J_x + J_y = h$ as we can understand from the general formula (14). This problem can be circumvented if we choose the fixed point condition $J_1(t) = 0$. It gives the driving schedule

$$h(t) = J_x(t) + J_y(t) + c(J_x(t) - J_y(t)), \tag{55}$$

where c is an arbitrary constant. In this schedule, the phase transition takes place at the isotropic point $J_x(t) = J_y(t)$. In the isotropic XY model, it is known that the singularity at the point $J_x = J_y = h$ is weak. It is interesting to see that the fixed-point condition chooses such a point properly to go beyond the phase boundary.

6. Summary

In summary, we have developed the method of the TQD and studied possible applications. We stress that there have not been so many applications of this method so far. In this work we enlarged the applicability of the method to various systems. To establish the usefulness of the present method it is necessary to consider more examples reflecting realistic experimental situations.

When the method is applied to many-body systems, we have mainly three problems. First, we need to solve the eigenvalue problem for the original Hamiltonian which is generally a difficult task. Second, even if we can find the driving Hamiltonian, it has a very complicated form including nonlocal and many-body interaction terms. Third, the driving Hamiltonian diverges at the quantum phase transition point where the energy gap goes to zero. Concerning the first and second problems, we have shown that they are eased if we consider only a specific state such as the ground state. In that case, the driving Hamiltonian can be reduced to a simpler one. For the third problem, it is possible to avoid the phase transition by changing the schedule in a proper way. Although there is no general prescription, we have shown such an example in the one-dimensional XY model.

The formula of the driving Hamiltonian indicates the existence of nontrivial conditions. Among them, the fixed-point condition is the most interesting one since the time dependence becomes essentially irrelevant. We expect that such special conditions become the key to understand the quantum dynamics.

We stress that finding transitionless Hamiltonian is useful not only for direct applications but also for understanding the nature of quantum fluctuations. In order to construct the driving Hamiltonian, we need to utilize the full operator space. For example, in a two-level system, we need a magnetic field not in a plane but in the full three dimensional space. In some applications such as the quantum annealing, we usually control Ising spin systems by a transverse magnetic field in a single direction. The present method clearly indicates that this is not appropriate and we should use more different kinds of operators. We expect that the present method will be a guideline on how to construct the optimal Hamiltonian.

Another interesting problem to be studied is the robustness of the transitionless Hamiltonian. It is important to know how much the time evolution is sensitive to the control parameters. This was discussed experimentally in [13] and we need the theoretical ground to understand the result. This problem will be clarified in future studies.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to T Obuchi for useful comments.

References

[1] Wieman C E, Pritchard D E and Wineland D J 1999 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71** S253
[2] Walmsley I and Rabitz H 2003 *Phys. Today* **56** 43
[3] Kinoshita T, Wenger T and Weiss D 2006 *Nature* **440** 900
[4] Farhi E, Goldstone J, Gutmann S, Lapan J, Lundgren A and Preda D 2001 *Science* **292** 472
[5] Carlini A, Hosoya A, Koike T and Okudaira Y 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 060503
[6] Carlini A, Hosoya A, Koike T and Okudaira Y 2007 *Phys. Rev.* A **75** 042308
[7] Masuda S and Nakamura K 2008 *Phys. Rev.* A **78** 062108
[8] Masuda S and Nakamura K 2010 *Proc. R. Soc.* A **466** 1135
[9] Masuda S and Nakamura K 2011 *Phys. Rev.* A **84** 043434
[10] Berry M V 2009 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** 365303
[11] Lewis H R and Riesenfeld W B 1969 *J. Math. Phys.* **10** 1458
[12] Chen Xi, Ruschhaupt A, Schmidt S, del Campo A, Guéry-Odelin D and Muga J G 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** 063002
[13] Bason M G, Viteau M, Malossi N, Huillery P, Arimondo E, Ciampini D, Fazio R, Giovannetti V, Mannella R and Morsch O 2012 *Nature Physics* **8** 147
[14] Finnila A B, Gomez M A, Sebenik C, Stenson C and Doll J D 1994 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **219** 343
[15] Kadowaki T and Nishimori H 1998 *Phys. Rev.* E **58** 5355
[16] Farhi E, Goldstone J, Gutmann S and Sipser M 2001 Quantum Computation by Adiabatic Evolution *Preprint* quant-ph/0001106
[17] Berry M V 1984 *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A **392** 45
[18] Wilczek F and Zee A 1984 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** 2111
[19] Jordan P and Wigner E 1928 *Zeits. f. Physik* A **47** 631
[20] Lieb E, Schultz T and Mattis D 1961 *Ann. Phys.* **16** 407